



Maeve O'Rourke [redacted]

Letter to survivors

Katherine O'Donnell [redacted] 13 March 2013 at 19:04
To: Claire McGettrick [redacted]
Cc: James Smith [redacted] Mari Steed [redacted] Maeve O'Rourke [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

For your information Maeve (and to recap for myself) -- he dismissed any Dail oversight - any 'interference' by media - any need for statutory powers of discovery (Nuala tells him they have 'six different ways' of getting information) [redacted]

[redacted] we tried to make it clear that --he is ostensibly making recommendations about WHAT sort of process should be in place -- we want THAT to be independent.

There would be no rating of levels of abuse suffered - so some kind of lump sum - lost wages and pensions. He spoke a lot of the time as if the process of deciding on what process to recommend to govt. is in fact (fait accompli) the process he has instigated -- finding out what individual women need and paying them off.

I'm frankly getting worried [redacted] that this is going to be a process that as Claire kept saying today is 'as clear as mud'. We get that long shopping list compiled and then we maybe make more enemies in high places--

Dr Katherine O'Donnell
Director-Women's Studies Centre
School of Social Justice
University College Dublin

On 13 Mar 2013, at 16:11, Claire McGettrick [redacted] wrote:

[redacted]